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Introduction

Second malignancy after Hodgkin disease was first recognized as a problem in the early 1970s. Our understanding of this serious late effect has evolved over time. Many early studies reported an increased risk of acute leukemia, which has a shorter latency and is associated with the use of alkylating chemotherapy. In more recent years, solid tumors, often taking 15 or more years to develop, have emerged as the most significant subtype of second malignancy and account for the majority of cases. Increasing attention is being directed to the more common individual tumor types, including lung cancer and breast cancer, in an attempt to better understand and perhaps modify the risk and impact of the different specific malignancies.

A number of studies have shown that second malignancy represents the leading cause of excess mortality in survivors of Hodgkin disease. As follow-up time increases, as the number of survivors accumulates, and as the treatment for Hodgkin disease changes, continued efforts to further our knowledge about the magnitude of the risk, temporal trend, and outcome of patients after a second malignancy are important. Understanding the treatment-related risk factors and time to development of second tumors may have important implications for the design of future trials for treatment, for the follow-up of patients, and for the identification of a high-risk population that might benefit from more intensive screening and preventative programs.

We previously published results from our institution on second malignancy after Hodgkin disease in 794 patients with pathologic stage I-III disease. We have now expanded the study to include patients of all clinical and pathologic stages treated over a longer period. This updated report contains twice the number of person-years of follow-up of our last report. In this study, we focus on the types, temporal trends, risk factors, and prognosis of second malignancy after Hodgkin disease, with particular attention on very late effects. The patients in the current study were drawn predominantly from those seen in consultation at the Department of Radiation Oncology at the Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Children’s Hospital, and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA; the majority initially presented with early-stage disease, and most received radiation therapy alone or combined chemotherapy and radiation therapy. The number of patients who received chemotherapy alone was relatively small, and such patients were thus excluded from the study.

Patients, materials, and methods

Patient characteristics, staging, and treatment

Between April 1969 and December 1997, 1319 patients with clinical stage IA-IVB Hodgkin disease were treated at one of the following Harvard-affiliated hospitals: Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Dana-Farber Cancer...
Table 1. Patient characteristics of all 1319 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at diagnosis (y)</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>3-93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger than 20</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>(28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>(63.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older than 50</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>(8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>(55.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>(44.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>(24.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>(61.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>(10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>(73.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>(26.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

At the time of this analysis, the median follow-up time among survivors was 12 years, with 416 patients (32%) having more than 15 years and 229 patients (17%) having more than 20 years of follow-up. The total number of person-years of follow-up was 15 910. Only 45 patients (3.4%) were lost to follow-up. Patient characteristics are described in Table 1.

The 15- and 20-year cumulative incidence rates of second malignancy were 14% and 23%, respectively. Figure 1 shows the cumulative incidence rates from Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) data were multiplied by corresponding person-years of observation. Person-years of observation began at the end of initial treatment for Hodgkin disease and continued until death or last day of follow-up. In the analyses of RR and AR of second malignancy by staging methods and by treatment exposure, only patients with more than 10 years of potential follow-up were included.

Survival curves for the time to the development of a second tumor were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier method. The cumulative incidence of observed second malignancies was estimated using Gray’s method, while the cumulative risk function for the expected number of second malignancies was determined using the life-table method.

Figure 1. Cumulative incidence of observed second tumors in survivors of Hodgkin disease and expected tumors in a matched population.
overall cumulative incidence of second malignancy in the study population and the expected cumulative incidence in an age- and sex-matched population over time. A total of 181 second malignancies were observed (excluding nonmelanoma skin cancers and carcinoma in situ of the cervix). Twenty-three patients developed acute leukemia, 24 had non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 3 developed multiple myeloma, and 131 developed solid tumors. The median times to development of leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and solid tumors were 5.3, 7.1, and 13.8 years, respectively, among patients who developed these second malignancies.

The RR and AR of second malignancy were 4.6 and 89.3/10,000 person years (9% excess risk per person per decade of follow-up), respectively. Table 2 shows the RR and AR of second malignancy by sites. The RR of acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and solid tumors was significantly elevated at 82.5, 16.5, and 3.5, respectively. The corresponding AR was 20.8, 11.0, and 11.3, respectively. Because of the higher background risk of leukemia, the RR was high despite the relatively low AR. In contrast, because of the higher background risk of solid tumor, only a modest RR was observed, but the AR was high. The 5 most common malignancies after Hodgkin disease were breast cancer, lung cancer, acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and gastrointestinal cancer. Other tumors with significantly increased RR included sarcoma, head and neck cancer, melanoma, thyroid cancer, and multiple myeloma.

Table 3 shows the excess risk of second malignancy by sex and age at diagnosis of Hodgkin disease. The difference in RR of second malignancy was not significantly different according to sex (P = .68). The RR of developing a second malignancy decreased significantly with age at diagnosis from 10.7 (<20 years old) to 4.9 (20 to 50 years old) to 2.4 (>50 years old) (P < .0001). However, the AR for the 3 age groups increased with increasing age and were 71.0, 88.5, and 211.0/10,000 person-years, respectively. This is because of the higher expected incidence of cancer with increasing age.

Overall, the RR of second malignancy increased significantly with age at diagnosis from 10.7 (20 to 49 years old) to 2.4 (>50 years old) (P < .0001). However, the AR for the 3 age groups increased with increasing age and were 71.0, 88.5, and 211.0/10,000 person-years, respectively. This is because of the higher expected incidence of cancer with increasing age.
The excess risk of breast cancer by age was also examined separately (Table 4). There was a continuous downward trend in the RR of breast cancer as age at diagnosis of Hodgkin disease increased. The RR of breast cancer was significantly increased in women diagnosed with Hodgkin disease when younger than 30 years of age. The increase in RR of breast cancer was of borderline significance in women diagnosed between age 30 and 35 years. After age 35, the RR was not significantly increased.

Table 5 shows the RR and AR by 5-year intervals of follow-up of all malignancies, of the 3 major subtypes (acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and solid tumors), and of the most common subtypes of solid tumors. For all second malignancies combined, the RR was significantly elevated in each time interval considered, and both the RR and AR increased with increasing follow-up time. For acute leukemia, the majority of the cases were observed in the first 10 years, although 2 of the cases occurred after 20 years. No clear pattern emerged in terms of length of follow-up in the excess risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, but its RR remained significantly increased more than 20 years after diagnosis of Hodgkin disease. In the first 10 years, approximately half of the cases of second malignancies were solid tumors. However, after 10 years, 85% of second malignancies observed were solid tumors. For lung cancer, the RR was significantly increased after 5 years of follow-up. For breast cancer, the RR was significantly increased after a latency of 10 to 15 years, with the greatest increases seen after 15 years. The RR of gastrointestinal cancer became significantly increased after 15 years of follow-up. Breast cancer was the most common second malignancy in our cohort of patients, with the excess risk being the highest among women diagnosed with and irradiated for Hodgkin disease at a younger age. To focus on this higher risk group, we analyzed the RR and AR of breast cancer by follow-up interval, limiting the analysis to only the 397 women treated with radiation therapy (mantle, MPA, or TNI) at or before age 30. The results are displayed in Table 6. A trend similar to that found in the whole group was noted in this subgroup, with increasing excess risk with increasing follow-up time. However, the increase in RR of breast cancer in this group of patients did not reach significance until after 15 years.

In the analysis of the excess risks of second malignancy according to whether or not staging laparotomy was performed (Table 7), treatment exposure based on radiation therapy with or without chemotherapy age. The excess risk of breast cancer by age was also examined separately (Table 4). There was a continuous downward trend in the RR of breast cancer as age at diagnosis of Hodgkin disease increased. The RR of breast cancer was significantly increased in women diagnosed with Hodgkin disease when younger than 30 years of age. The increase in RR of breast cancer was of borderline significance in women diagnosed between age 30 and 35 years. After age 35, the RR was not significantly increased.

Table 5 shows the RR and AR by 5-year intervals of follow-up of all malignancies, of the 3 major subtypes (acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and solid tumors), and of the most common subtypes of solid tumors. For all second malignancies combined, the RR was significantly elevated in each time interval considered, and both the RR and AR increased with increasing follow-up time. For acute leukemia, the majority of the cases were observed in the first 10 years, although 2 of the cases occurred after 20 years. No clear pattern emerged in terms of length of follow-up in the excess risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, but its RR remained significantly increased more than 20 years after diagnosis of Hodgkin disease. In the first 10 years, approximately half of the cases of second malignancies were solid tumors. However, after 10 years, 85% of second malignancies observed were solid tumors. For lung cancer, the RR was significantly increased after 5 years of follow-up. For breast cancer, the RR was significantly increased after a latency of 10 to 15 years, with the greatest increases seen after 15 years. The RR of gastrointestinal cancer became significantly increased after 15 years of follow-up. Breast cancer was the most common second malignancy in our cohort of patients, with the excess risk being the highest among women diagnosed with and irradiated for Hodgkin disease at a younger age. To focus on this higher risk group, we analyzed the RR and AR of breast cancer by follow-up interval, limiting the analysis to only the 397 women treated with radiation therapy (mantle, MPA, or TNI) at or before age 30. The results are displayed in Table 6. A trend similar to that found in the whole group was noted in this subgroup, with increasing excess risk with increasing follow-up time. However, the increase in RR of breast cancer in this group of patients did not reach significance until after 15 years.

In the analysis of the excess risks of second malignancy according to whether or not staging laparotomy was performed (Table 7), treatment exposure based on radiation therapy with or without chemotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervals</th>
<th>Person-years</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>5231</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.9 (10.6, 22.0)</td>
<td>57.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 30.0)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.8 (0.8, 24.7)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>1026</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.1 (0.5, 14.9)</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.8 (14.1, 43.3)</td>
<td>225.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20+</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>24.5 (13.4, 41.1)</td>
<td>368.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RR indicates relative risk; AR, absolute excess risk; MPA, mantle and paraaortic; TNI, total nodal irradiation; O, observed; E, expected; CI, confidence interval.

Table 6. RR and AR of breast cancer by follow-up interval among women who received mantle, MPA, or TNI at age 30 years or younger

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staging laparotomy</th>
<th>Person-years</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.8 (1.7, 4.5)</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12868</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>4.9 (4.2, 5.8)</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O indicates observed; E, expected; CI, confidence interval; AR, absolute excess risk.

Table 7. RR and AR of second malignancy by staging in the 961 patients with more than 10 years of potential follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial therapy</th>
<th>PY</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>4,046</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.1† (4.7, 8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonrelapsed cases</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>10,302</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>4.0‡ (3.4, 4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>7,997</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>3.7§ (3.0, 4.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relapsed cases</th>
<th>Relapsed after CMT</th>
<th>Relapsed after RT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. RR and AR of second malignancy by overall treatment exposure in 961 patients with more than 10 years of potential follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial therapy</th>
<th>PY</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>10,302</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>4.0‡ (3.4, 4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. RR and AR of second malignancy by initial radiation field (+/- chemotherapy) in 961 patients with more than 10 years of potential follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiation field</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>PY</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mantle alone</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8, 4.6)</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA/PPA alone</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>8573</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>4.2 (3.4, 5.1)</td>
<td>78.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNI alone*</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.1 (2.8, 8.5)</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT + mantle</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.7 (2.5, 8.0)</td>
<td>76.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT + MPA/PPA</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.9 (3.9, 8.4)</td>
<td>117.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT + TNI*</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.5 (7.0, 23.5)</td>
<td>143.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One patient who received combined modality therapy received whole brain radiation therapy and was excluded from the analysis.

PY indicates person-years; O, observed; E, expected; RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval; AR, absolute excess risk; MPA/PPA, mantle and paraaortics/paraaortics and pelvic; TNI, total nodal irradiation; CT, chemotherapy.

* P = .019.
combined chemotherapy and radiation therapy, the RR of a second malignancy in patients treated to a mantle, subtotal nodal, and total nodal field were 4.7, 5.9, and 13.5, respectively, and the difference was statistically significant \((P = .03)\).

The median follow-up time after the diagnosis of a second malignancy was 3 years among survivors. The 5-year overall survival rate after second malignancy was 38.1%, and the median survival time was 3 years for all sites of second tumors. Survival following development of acute leukemia was poor, with a 5-year overall survival estimate of only 4.9%, and a median survival time of 0.4 years. Seventeen of the 23 patients who developed acute leukemia died within 1 year of diagnosis. The 5-year overall survival rates after diagnosis of second malignancy for patients who developed solid tumors and non-Hodgkin lymphoma were 42.1% and 49.6%, respectively. The corresponding median survival times were 4.3 years and 2.4 years, respectively. The survival curves after the development of any second malignancy are shown in Figure 2A, and survival curves after the development of solid tumors, leukemia, and non-Hodgkin lymphoma are shown in Figure 2B. Among the solid tumors, prognosis was the poorest in patients who developed lung cancer, with a median survival time of only 1 year. The 5-year survival estimate after development of a gastrointestinal cancer was 12.4%, and the median survival time was 1.9 years. In patients who developed breast cancer, the 5-year overall survival estimate was more favorable at 76.1%, and the median survival time has not yet been reached. The survival outcome by type of second malignancy is summarized in Table 10.

Of the 181 patients with a second malignancy, 18 developed a third malignancy, including 1 case of acute leukemia, 1 case of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, 6 cases of breast cancers, 3 cases of lung cancers, 1 case of gastrointestinal cancer, 3 cases of genitourinary cancers, 1 case of melanoma, 1 case of mesothelioma, and 1 case of vulvar cancer. The median time between the second and third malignancy was 34 months (range, 0-203 months).

**Discussion**

In this study, the long-term risk of second malignancy after Hodgkin disease therapy was analyzed for 1319 patients treated over almost 30 years at a single institution. With 15,910 person-years of follow-up and with only 3.4% of patients lost to follow-up, this represents one of the largest and most complete single-institution studies on the subject. A total of 181 second malignancies and 18 additional third malignancies were observed, with solid tumors accounting for nearly three quarters of all cases. Overall, this translates to a 4.6-fold risk of developing a cancer compared with the general population, and an almost 1% excess risk per person per year. The excess risk increased with follow-up time, and after 20 years, the RR was 10.9 and the AR reached 405/10,000 person-years or 4.0% per person per year. However, it should be noted that in this study, 85% of the patients presented with early-stage disease. Therefore, the results may not be completely generalizable to patient groups with a more typical stage distribution.

The literature on second malignancy after Hodgkin disease has grown considerably in recent years. Given that Hodgkin disease is a relatively uncommon diagnosis and a second malignancy is typically associated with a long latency, a large number of patients with long follow-up time is crucial for a full appreciation of this life-threatening consequence of Hodgkin disease therapy. The completeness of the follow-up data for the entire cohort of patients is also critical. By minimizing the number of patients lost to follow-up, ascertainment bias, which may result in overestimation
or underestimation of the risk of second malignancy, can be
decreased. Finally, although a multi-institutional study allows for
the assessment of more patients, a single-institutional study has the
advantages of uniformity of staging and treatment in each time
period and availability of more detailed and accurate patient-
disease-, and treatment-related information.

The relationship between age at diagnosis and risk of second
malignancy after Hodgkin disease is subject to debate.12,17,19,36-41
The conflicting results reported in the literature may be due to
differences in the type of second malignancy and the age group of
the patient population under consideration. Also, the way in which
the risks are calculated and reported may influence the results and
conclusions. Because of the increasing background risk of cancer
with increasing age, RR tends to decrease with increasing age,
while measurements such as AR and cumulative incidence tend to
increase. One consistent finding, however, is the increased risk of
breast cancer for females treated for Hodgkin disease at a young
age.17,18,25,26 In this study, we separated out a group of 397 women
at high risk for breast cancer, namely, those who received radiation
therapy to the chest region prior to age 30 years. In this group, the
excess risk was especially striking 15 to 20 years and beyond 20
years after treatment, with an excess risk of 2.3% to 3.7% per
person per year, respectively. Prior to 15 years, the excess risk was
low (0%-0.15% per person per year). Other studies have also found
a similar delay in the occurrence of breast cancer, with median time
to development ranging from 15 to 18 years.24,26,27,42 Because of the
substantial risk, recommendations and programs dealing with
breast cancer after Hodgkin disease are beginning to be imple-
mented or studied, including early screening with mammography.
Investigators are also looking into screening with breast magnetic
resonance imaging and chemoprevention with tamoxifen, borrow-
ing from data on other high-risk groups.43-46 It remains to be seen
whether such efforts will reduce the risk and improve the prognosis
of breast cancer after Hodgkin disease.

In this study, a significantly higher risk of second malignancy
was found in patients who underwent staging laparotomy com-
pared with those who were clinically staged. The finding of an
increased risk of second cancer after surgical staging and splenic-
tomy has also been noted by other investigators.39,40,47 Although
staging laparotomy for Hodgkin disease has largely been aban-
donned by most institutions and practices, these findings may still
have implications in the follow-up of patients who underwent the
procedure. However, in our study, the numbers of patients and
person-years of follow-up were relatively small for the no-
laparotomy cohort. As the number of clinically staged patients
increases and as their follow-up time lengthens, it will become
more apparent whether the difference will persist.

We also investigated the association between treatment modal-
ity and extent, and the risk of second malignancy after Hodgkin
disease. In our study, in which solid tumors accounted for 72% of
all cases of second tumors, the RR of a second malignancy was
found to be significantly higher in patients who received initial
CMT than in patients who received radiation therapy alone. Other
studies, in which solid tumors also made up the majority of the
second malignancies, have found an association between overall
treatment delivered and the risk of a second tumor. In a study from
the Netherlands Cancer Institute by van Leeuwen et al,18 a
significantly increased risk of nonbreast solid cancer in patients
who received salvage chemotherapy or CMT was noted among
1253 young adults treated for Hodgkin disease. Swerdlow et al
from the British National Lymphoma Investigation reported on
5519 patients with Hodgkin disease17 and found that the risk of
second malignancy (in particular, that of gastrointestinal cancer)
was highest among patients who received initial CMT. In a study
from Munich on 1120 patients from 6 participating institutions,
the cumulative intensity of chemotherapy and radiation therapy was
found to correlate with the risk of second malignancy after
Hodgkin disease.40 However, it is important to note that in our
study, as in most of the above studies, the majority of the patients
(90%) who received chemotherapy were treated with alkylating
agents. In addition, 60% of patients who underwent CMT received
extended-field irradiation (MPA, PPA, or TNI). It is quite plausible
that the increased risk of second malignancy associated with CMT
may not hold true with ABVD chemotherapy and more limited field
radiation treatment. Early data clearly show no significantly
increased leukemia risk with the modern regimens.48-50 However,
longer follow-up time is needed to determine the long-term solid
tumor risk, which is associated with a longer latency.

In this study, we noted a significant correlation between
radiation field size and the risk of second malignancy. Similar
findings have also been reported by others. Biti et al of the
University of Florence found that the 15-year cumulative proba-
bility of developing a solid tumor was 5.1% among patients treated
with involved field/mantle radiation therapy,12 compared to 11.6%
among patients treated with subtotal or total nodal irradiation.
Results from the International Database for Hodgkin Disease
showed a 1.84-fold higher risk of solid tumor in patients who
received subtotal or total nodal irradiation compared with local
radiation therapy.37 Not all studies, however, have demonstrated a
relationship between radiation field size and second tumor risks.8,39
The best data will come from the randomized trials comparing
different radiation field sizes when these studies become fully
mature. Most of the ongoing trials employ involved-field radiation
therapy, which treats an even smaller volume than a mantle field.
In this study, we did not attempt to evaluate the radiation dose
received at the site of second tumor development. Because of the
long latency to second malignancy and changes in body habits of
patients over time, especially among pediatric patients, it would be
difficult to reliably correlate the recreated radiation dose distribu-
tion of the original treatment with the location of the second
malignancy.

The findings of the increasing RR and AR of second malignancy
with increasing follow-up time also have been noted in other
reports. In the study by Swerdlow et al,17 although the RR of
second malignancy remained relatively constant over time, the AR
increased with length of follow-up and was up to 102.6/10 000
person-years after 15 years. Van Leeuwen et al reported an increase
in RR over time up to 15 to 19 years, but after 20 years, the RR
appeared to decrease. The AR of second malignancy, however,
continued to increase with time, reaching 201/10 000 person-years
after 20 years.18 Our observed AR beyond 20 years of 449/10 000
person-years is higher than that found in the study by Van Leeuwen
et al. The difference may be due to the fact that the patient
population in the van Leeuwen study was limited to adolescents
and young adults, who have a lower background cancer risk.

In our study, a persistently increased excess risk over time was
found for acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and solid
tumors. It is noteworthy that earlier studies on second malignancy
after Hodgkin disease had suggested that the leukemia risk after
Hodgkin disease appears to be limited to the first 10 years after
treatment.4,5,7,9,10,18,31,52 However, in the current study, 2 cases were
observed beyond 20 years. Nevertheless, it is clear that the
increased excess risk of second malignancy in the later years is
predominantly driven by solid tumors, in particular, breast cancer.
The long latency emphasizes the importance of allowing adequate follow-up time before the long-term safety of the new regimens for Hodgkin disease can be confirmed. In addition, there may be some uncertainty associated with the estimate of the median time to solid tumor development, given the long latency, which extended well beyond the median follow-up of this study. Longer follow-up time is needed to further confirm the timing of the various types of solid tumors following Hodgkin disease. Because of the high cure rate of Hodgkin disease and its occurrence in predominantly younger patients, a significant number of survivors of the disease who received various forms of treatment over the years are currently alive and are in need of proper follow-up care. In this study, we found a dramatic increase in second tumor risk with time; 15 to 20 years or more after initial therapy, survivors of Hodgkin disease on average are faced with a 2.3% to 4.0% excess risk of second malignancy per person per year. This clearly represents a substantial risk in a group of patients who have long been cured of their original cancer diagnosis and may no longer feel the need for vigilance. Primary care physicians, who may be the main source of long-term care for survivors of Hodgkin disease, should be made aware of these risks. Whether the magnitude of the risk and the poor prognosis associated with some of the second malignancies, including lung and gastrointestinal cancers, justify more comprehensive screening strategies, such as yearly body CT scan or use of the newer, potentially more sensitive imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography, needs to be explored. Researchers have also attempted to identify potential molecular markers that may be associated with increased risk for a second malignancy, although results have been unrevealing thus far. However, such research efforts should continue in order to better define high-risk groups for more intensive surveillance and interventional programs tailored to their specific risk profile.
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